UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,170	08/26/2003	Jason B. Chesser	42.P11893D	4447
R. Alan Burnett	7590 06/24/200 t	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			PATEL, NIHIR B	
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/648,170	CHESSER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NIHIR PATEL	3772		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 33-35 and 37-42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 33,34,40 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 35, 37-39 and 41 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplication and accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the option	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/648,170

Art Unit: 3772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-35 and 37-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Response to Amendment

2. The examiner acknowledges the amendment filed on December 29<sup>th</sup>, 2008. The amendment comprises amending claim 33 and cancelling claims 1-32 and 36.

### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims **33, 34, 40 and 42** are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims **1, 4, 9 and 10** of U.S. Patent No. 6,981,543.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,170

Page 3

Art Unit: 3772

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claim 33 of the current application and claim 1 of the patent '543 lies in the fact that the patent claim includes many more elements and is this much more specific. Thus the invention of claim 1 is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 33. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claim 33 of the current application is anticipated by claim 1 of patent '543, it is not patentably distinct from claim 1 of patent '543. As to claim 34 of the current application, the limitations can be found in claim 4 of patent '543. As to claim 40 of the current application, the limitations can be found in claim 9 of patent '543. As to claim 42 of the current application, the limitations can be found in claim 10 of patent '943.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 35, 37-39 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose at least one internal wall includes portions that are configured symmetrically so as to form a centrally-disposed condensing region connected to a first capillary channel disposed on a first side of the condensing region and a second capillary channel disposed on a second side of the condensing region opposite of the first side.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,170 Page 4

Art Unit: 3772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nihir Patel/

Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Patricia Bianco/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772